


RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 07 2004

## Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary Record

Application No.: 09 / 303,356 First Named Applicant: Bachmann et al.  
 Examiner: To, B. Art Unit: 2172 Status of Application: Non-final  
 Attorney Docket Number: AT9-98-955 Date Mailed: 02/27/2004  
 Tentative Participants:  
 (1) Examiner -- To, B. (2) Joe Burwell (Signature:   
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12/07/2004Time: 1 PM Eastern Time

## Type of Interview

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

## Issues Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection</u>	<u>1 et al.</u>	<u>Serbinis</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

## Summary of Interview:

The examiner and Mr. Burwell discussed the features of the present invention, the features of Serbinis, and the prosecution history, which includes a prior appeal and the subsequent withdrawal of finality. No agreement was reached with respect to interpretation of claimed features and the appropriateness of an obviousness rejection.